

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,139	09/10/2003	Christopher W. McNutt	64671-0480	3033	
20480	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
	NICHOLS SHMAN & GRAVER PLLO	NGUYEN, KHIEM M			
•	VER FRONT PARKWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 150			2839		
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Cunaloustal	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
SupplementaL Advisory Action	10/659,139	MCNUTT ET AL.	
Advicery rieson	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Khiem Nguyen	2839	
AILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	

	Kniem nguyen	2039	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11/1/04FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The apploriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: New claim 13 is added without cancelling	a corresponding claim.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	,		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 2,4 and 11.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3 and 6-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
		Khiem Nguyen Khiem Nguyen Primary Examiner	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2839

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejected claims is still broad in scope and still rejectable by the applied art.